Slit domains, November 21, 2017 6:31 PM Let Y: [0+~ ] - D, 8101 + It, 81~ ]= O. Con be relt - tourning! let Aris composient of 10 V(0,+), containing 0. Let f : 1) -> M, f (0)=0, f (0)=0. Not malize . (f, 10) = 0-4: L. C (no ung. lized 1. By Convartheodory,  $f_{\pm}$  extends continuarly to  $\partial D$ . Let  $\lambda(t) := f_{\pm}^{-1}(\delta(t))$ . As before,  $g_{\pm} := f_{\pm}^{-1}, g_{\pm}(\delta(t)) = \lambda(t)$ . Then  $g_{+}(z) = g_{+}(z) = \frac{z + \lambda i4i}{z - \lambda i4i}$   $\lambda(4)$  is called driving function of  $\chi(4)$ . <u>Pf</u>. (g<sub>4</sub>) is hormalized L.C., 20 g<sub>1</sub>(z) = g<sub>4</sub>(z) p(g<sub>4</sub>(z), t), Loz nome P. Kemember that p(2,5,t) = 1+es.x 2 - 95,t(2) = 52+5 d\_{M5,t}(1), where M5,t(5) is 7 - 95,t(2) = 52+5 d\_{M5,t}(1), where M5,t(5) is 9 - 95,t(2) = 52+5 d\_{M5,t}(1), where M5,t(5) is 9 - 95,t(2) = 52+5 d\_{M5,t}(1), where M5,t(5) is  $\begin{array}{c} \underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \atops}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \atops}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \atopz}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \neq s}{\underset{k \neq s}{\atop_{k \neq s}{\atop_{k \neq s}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}}{\underset{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k \atopz}{\atop_{k}{_{k \atopz}$ Use Shwarz reflection to extend pit to conto mal inomorphism OF C ( W (s,t) onto C ( (SUS\*). By Caratheodory, (Ss,t) -> O as tis. On the other hand, / W(5, t) (-0 as 5 \$t (since [W(5, t)] is the harmonic measure or \$(5, t) in A.). Observe: Il for 5 close enough to t, W(5, t) = B(A(H, E)). 2) 95,1 ~ id units ruly og compact subsets of ID. By retlection, on as upact subsets of C 15' 20 sont post (2) = 1/4/+2 always exist? = O they direction almost holds! This (Pommerenke) The L. C. (R.) is generated by withing X(4) its VT>0, E>0,38>0: V+5T 3 crossent & v+ R. Repaireding O tron K++ 5. \K+, 18 KE. The existence of  $\lambda$  is the norme as in slit case. Other direction requires some work. Example ( of use cuese): 

ис. О.С. - you win would Chordal analogue:  $g_{\pm}(z) = \frac{2}{g_{\pm}(z) - \lambda(t)} , \quad \lambda(t) = g_{\pm}(\xi(t)).$ Bonus: Brownian scaling! Driving turselism of  $\lambda(z^2 +)$   $\int_{-1}^{-1} \lambda(z^2 +) (nince g_{dk}(z) = \lambda g_k(\frac{z}{z})).$